Rec'd PCT/PTO 15 APR 2005



## **PCT**

REC'D	1 2 NOV 2004
WIPO	PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

PCT/US03/29853 International Patent Classification (IPC) or IPC(7): A61F 2/00; A01N 37/18 and US (Applicant MOLICHEM MEDICINES, INC.	Cl.: 424/427; 514/2	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)  th/year)  Priority date (day/month/year)  18 October 2002 (18.10.2002)			
International application No.  PCT/US03/29853 International Patent Classification (IPC) or  IPC(7): A61F 2/00; A01N 37/18 and US (Applicant  MOLICHEM MEDICINES, INC.	22 September 2003 (22.09.2003) r national classification and IPC Cl.: 424/427; 514/2				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or IPC(7): A61F 2/00; A01N 37/18 and US (Applicant MOLICHEM MEDICINES, INC.	r national classification and IPC	18 October 2002 (18.10.2002)			
IPC(7): A61F 2/00; A01N 37/18 and US (Applicant MOLICHEM MEDICINES, INC.	Cl.: 424/427; 514/2				
Applicant MOLICHEM MEDICINES, INC.					
MOLICHEM MEDICINES, INC.					
4					
Examining Authority and is	transmitted to the applicant ac	_			
2. This REPORT consists of a	total of 3 sheets, including t	his cover sheet.			
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of sheets.					
<ol><li>This report contains indication</li></ol>	ons relating to the following it	ems:			
I Basis of the report	ļ.				
II Priority					
III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of invention					
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial					
applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cited					
VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	f completion of this report			
26 April 2004 (26.04.2004)		05 October 2004 (05.10.2004)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US		Authorized officer			
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450		Authorized officer Christopher R. Tate Christopher R. Tate			
Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450		Telephone No. 703-308-0196			
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. 703-308-0196 orm PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)					



International	tion No.
PCT/US03/29853	

I.	Ba	sis of the report						
1	. Wit	h regard to the elements of the international application:*						
ł		the international application as originally filed.						
İ	the description:							
		pages 1-9 as originally filed						
-		pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of .						
	$\nabla$	the claims:						
	حا	pages 10-11, as originally filed						
		pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19						
ĺ		pages NONE , filed with the demand						
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of						
ı		the drawings:						
		pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand						
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of.						
		the sequence listing part of the description:						
		pages NONE , as originally filed						
		pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of						
2	Wit	h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the						
-	lang	uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:						
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).						
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules						
		55.2 and/or 55.3).						
3.	3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
	$\Box$	contained in the international application in printed form.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
•		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the						
		international application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing						
	_	has been furnished.						
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description, pages NONE						
		the claims, Nos. NONE						
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE						
5.								
		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**						
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).  ** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.								



International a lion No. PCT/US03/29835

Claims   NONE   None	V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Inventive Step (IS)  Claims 1-11  Claims NONE  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims 1-11  Claims NONE  Claims NONE  1-11  YI  Claims NONE  2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art (including the prior art cited in the PCT searce report) does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed method of treating dry eye disease via administering a therapeutically effective amount of lantibiotic in a pharmaceutical carrier to a subject in need thereof.  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claims can be made or used in industry.	1. STATEMENT						
Inventive Step (IS)  Claims 1-11  Claims 1-11  Claims 1-11  Claims NONE  Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims 1-11  Claims NONE  POPULABLE NONE  2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art (including the prior art cited in the PCT searce report) does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed method of treating dry eye disease via administering a therapeutically effective amount of lantibiotic in a pharmaceutical carrier to a subject in need thereof.  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claims can be made or used in industry.	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-11	YE	S		
Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims 1-11  Claims NONE  Claims 1-11  Claims NONE  2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art (including the prior art cited in the PCT searce report) does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed method of treating dry eye disease via administering a therapeutically effective amount of lantibiotic in a pharmaceutical carrier to a subject in need thereof.  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claims can be made or used in industry.				NO			
Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims 1-11  Claims NONE  Claims NONE  2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art (including the prior art cited in the PCT searce report) does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed method of treating dry eye disease via administering a therapeutically effective amount of lantibiotic in a pharmaceutical carrier to a subject in need thereof.  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claims can be made or used in industry.				7770	_		
Industrial Applicability (IA)  Claims  Claims  NONE  2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art (including the prior art cited in the PCT searce report) does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed method of treating dry eye disease via administering a therapeutically effective amount of lantibiotic in a pharmaceutical carrier to a subject in need thereof.  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claims can be made or used in industry.	Inventive Step (IS)						
Claims NONE  2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art (including the prior art cited in the PCT search report) does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed method of treating dry eye disease via administering a therapeutically effective amount of lantibiotic in a pharmaceutical carrier to a subject in need thereof.  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claims can be made or used in industry.		Claims	NUNE	140			
2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art (including the prior art cited in the PCT search report) does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed method of treating dry eye disease via administering a therapeutically effective amount of lantibiotic in a pharmaceutical carrier to a subject in need thereof. Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claims can be made or used in industry.	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YE	S		
Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art (including the prior art cited in the PCT search report) does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed method of treating dry eye disease via administering a therapeutically effective amount of lantibiotic in a pharmaceutical carrier to a subject in need thereof.  Claims 1-11 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claims can be made or used in industry.		Claims	NONE	NO			
	can be made or used in industry.		s have industrial applicability	because the subject matter claimed			

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)